	-
•	
4	

Application No.

Applicant(s) 09/190,788

Interview Summary

Christopher N. Macroglou Group Art Unit

Raeann Gorden

		3711	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) Raeann Gorden	(3) Christopher Macroglou		
(2) Henry Bartony	(4)		
Date of Interview Mar 4, 2002			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☒ applicant	2) applicant's representative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) 🛛 Yes applicant's invention	e) No. If yes, brief description	on:	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1, 2, and 13-20</u>			
Identification of prior art discussed: Harkness 5,467,992 Carney 6,213,887			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	nature of what was agreed to if	an agreement w	as reached, or
Applicant discussed the differences between the present in			
device and reviewed a video tape. Applicant's representation patentable language.	ve would like to speak with Exam	miner's primary เ	o decide on
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no cavailable, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ	ate record of the substance of th	ne interview (if b	ox is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAINCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPE already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FRO SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	P section 713.04). If a reply to M THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO F	the last Office a	ction has NT OF THE
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action			